

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

MICHAEL W. KREIDEL,

Case No. 2:14-cv-02078-APG-PAL

Plaintiff,

**REPORT OF FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATION**

v.

CLARK COUNTY, et al.,

Defendants.

This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff Michael W. Kreidel's failure to comply with the Court's Order (Dkt. #4). This proceeding was referred to the undersigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and LR IB 1-4.

Plaintiff is proceeding in this action *pro se* and filed a Complaint (Dkt. #1-1) on December 9, 2014. Because the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis*, the Court screened the Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). Order (Dkt. #4). The undersigned found that Plaintiff's Complaint failed to state a valid claim and allowed Plaintiff thirty days to file an amended complaint on or before March 19, 2015. *Id.* Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, requested an extension of time or taken any other action to prosecute this case. Plaintiff was warned that failure to file an amended complaint that addressed the deficiencies noted in the screening order would result in a recommendation to the District Judge that this case be dismissed.

Accordingly,

IT IS RECOMMENDED that Plaintiff's Complaint be DISMISSED.

///

///

///

